III

TWO NOTES ON THE BUDDHA-CARITA.

By K. WATANABE.1

1. A PROSE TRANSLATION OF PART OF THE BUDDHA-CARITA EXISTING IN CHINESE.

A WORK entitled Fo-chui-pān-ni-phān-liao-shwo-kiao-kie-king (Sūtra of teaching spoken briefly by the Buddha just before his attaining Parinirvāṇa), is one of the most popular sūtras in China and Japan. The sūtra was translated by the famous Kumārajīva, and is mentioned in Nanjio's so-called "Catalogue of the Tripiṭaka" (No. 122). It is commonly called Fo-i-kiao-king (Sūtra of the Buddha's last teaching), according to its shortened title.

The importance of this small sutra in Buddhist history is sufficiently indicated by the fact, that the great Chinese emperor Thai-tsung, of the Thang-dynasty, issued a special edict to propagate this sutra, in order to regulate the conduct of Buddhist monks through its teaching. Even in modern Japan, the sutra is solemnly recited by almost all Buddhist sects. An English translation was published by Rev. K. Nukariya, but this version has had only a limited circulation. A revised translation of the Sutra

¹ This article reached me just too late for insertion in the last journal, 1909. The author, who has now left Strassburg, and whose address in Japan we do not know, has not seen a proof of this article.—RH. D.

into some European tongue is wanted, owing to the high ethical value of the treatise.

Now this important Sūtra is, in fact, a prose translation of the Mahāparinirvāṇa-sarga in the Buddha-Carita. The following table will clearly prove this fact:

Fo-i-kiao-king.	Dharmarakşa's Translation of the Buddha-Carita, Chap. XXVI.	Beal's Translation, S.B.E. XIX, p. 290 seq.	Pāo-yun's Translation, Chap. XXIX.
Sec. 1. Introduction , 2. Commandment , 3. Subduing mind , 4. Measured nourishment , 5. Proper sleep , 6. Anger , 7. Fride , 8. Honesty , 9. Small desire , 10. Contentedness , 11. Secluded life , 12. Diligence , 13. Samädhi , 14. Wisdom , 15. Single mind , 16. Carclessness , 17. Anuruddha and Buddha , 18. Conclusion	Ver. 1 = 33a ,, 33b = 34 ,, 35 = 44 ,, 45 = 52 ,, 53 = 56a ,, 56d = 62 ,, 68 = 67 ,, 68 = 71 ,, 72 = 73a ,, 73b = 76a ,, 76b = 81a ,, 84b = 86 ,, 87 = 91a ,, 91b = 96a ,, 96b = 98 ,, 99 = 104 ,, 105 = 111 ,, 119b = 131	Ver. 1984 -2016a , 20160-2017 , 2018 -2027 , 2028 -2035 , 2036 -2039a , 20390-2045 , 2046 -2250 , 2051 -2054 , 2055 -2056a , 20560-2059a , 20560-2067a , 20670-2074a , 20740-2079a , 20790-2081 , 2088 -2094 , 2088 -2094 , 2088 -2094 , 2088 -2094 , 2088 -2094 , 2095 -2102a , 21026-2114	Ver. 1 - 30 ,, 31 ,, 32 - 42 ,, 43 - 49 ,, 50 - 52 ,, 53 - 58 ,, 59 - 63 ,, 64 - 65 ,, 66 ,, 70 - 71 ,, 72 - 74 ,, 75 - 78 ,, 79 - 81 ,, 82 ,, 83 - 88 ,, 89 - 95 ,, 96 - 1026 ,, 1026-126

A commentary on this sūtra is mentioned in Nanjio's "Catalogue" (No. 1,209). The text in this commentary is entirely taken from the translation by Kumārajīva. The work is ascribed to Vasubandhu and the translation to Paramārtha. But the accuracy of this tradition is very doubtful, as is shown by the following statement in the Cung-king-mulu (Nanjio, No. 1,603), fasc. 5:

"I-kiao-king-lung, one volume: it is said that this śāstra was translated by Paramārtha. But the work is not mentioned in the Catalogue of Translations by Paramārtha. Therefore it is now registered under 'doubtful translations.'"

The authorship of the work is also very uncertain. In a Chinese commentary on the śāstra by Tsin-yuen (Nanjio, No. 1,597; vide *ibid.*, p. 465), the work is ascribed to Aśvaghoṣa. This apparently shows that the authorship was invented in China, and it suggests to us that the work might have been compiled by an Indian or Chinese monk,

based on the translation by Kumārajīva — perhaps by Paramārtha himself, for he wrote many commentaries on several śūtras and śāstras according to the description of the *Li-tai-sān-pāo-ki* (Nanjio, No. 1504), fasc. 17.

2. THE BUDDHA-CARITA AND THE MĀRKAŅDEYA-PURĀNA.

In the Mārkaṇdeya-purāṇa there is a famous story regarding King Hariścandra. In the conclusion of this story a battle between Vasiṣṭha and Viśvāmitra is described. The two Brahmins fight a furious single combat, each assuming the form of a bird.

I will borrow here the passage from an English translation by Mr. M. N. Dutt:

"And in accordance with each other's curse, both Vasistha and the highly energetic Viśvāmitra, the son of Kuśika, although endowed with great effulgence, came from inferior births. Although born of different species, they, both of incomparable energy and endowed with mighty strength and prowess, being greatly enraged, fought with each other. O Brahmin, the Ari, was two thousand yojanas high, and the heron (Vaka) was three thousand and ninety-six yojanas high. Endowed with great strength, they, striking each other with their wings, created exceeding fear in creatures."

Aśvaghosa alludes to this story in a chapter of his Buddha-Carita, in which several examples, from Brahmanic literature are given. The following passage is taken from a Chinese translation of the Buddha-Carita by Dharmaraksa (Nanjio, No. 1,351). Its corresponding English rendering is selected from Beal's Fo-sho-hing-tsang-king (S.B.E.,

¹ Wealth of India Series, Calcutta, 1897, p. 50. See also Pargiter's translation, 1904, p. 60; and the Sanskrit text published by K. M. Banerjea, 1862, p. 90.

² Perhaps Ari is a better reading: the Sanskrit text, as well as Pargiter's translation, read Adi; and in other MSS. it is written Ati or Ati.

vol. xix., p. 330), in which I have corrected two important names, indicating the Puranic story.

"The two demons, $\bar{A}ri$ (or $\bar{A}di$) and Vaka, were ever drawn into contention; in the first place, because of their folly and ignorance causing wide ruin among men; how much less for our all-wise master should we begrudge our lives." The perpetual contention and enmity between Vasiṣṭha and Viśvāmitra is a well-known story in the Mahābhārata.

In another translation of the Buddha-Carita by Pāo-yun (Nanjio, No. 1323, chap. 30, verses 67, 68), the passage is somewhat different. This translation is, in general, very obscure and clumsy compared with the translation by Dharmarakṣa. The passage is, in English, as follows:

"In ancient times there were ignorant persons; through their folly they struggled like water-animals (?); from their intensity of ignorance they destroyed each other. Buddha appeared in the world to remove all ignorance; why shall we foolishly covet our present lives for Buddha?"

The phrase "struggled like water animals," or "struggling water-animals," is a very difficult one. But I venture to suppose that it is merely a mistaken translation for arivakoca, or something like that. Ari, or Āri, may be here wrongly translated as "struggled" or "struggling," as ari means hostile, and is so rendered in Dutt's translation. Vaka is, perhaps, the source of "water-animal," as the ideograph commonly used for it in Chinese means "insect," though it is sometimes applied to animal or creature.