

VIII

NOTES BY HARINĀTH DE, M.A.

I. PĀNINI AND BUDDHAGHOSA.

IN the VISUDDHIMAGGO (p. 423 of the Burmese edition, ‘*Indriyasaccaniddeso*’) we read :

‘Ko pana nesam indriyat̄ho namāti, Indalingat̄ho indriyat̄ho. Indadēsitaṭ̄ho indriyat̄ho. Indadiṭṭhat̄ho indriyat̄ho. Indasiṭṭhat̄ho indriyat̄ho. Indajut̄ṭhat̄ho indriyat̄ho. So sabbo’pi idha yathāyogam yujjati. Bhagavā hi sammāsambuddho paramissariyabhavato indo. Kusalākusalālam ca kammam. Kammesu kassaci issariyābhavato. Tenevattha kammasanjanitāni tāva indriyāni kusalākusalakammam ullingenti. Tena ca sit̄thanīti indalingat̄thena indasiṭṭat̄thena ca indriyani. Sabbānevapane-tāni Bhagavatā yathābhūtato pakāsitāni ahhisambuddhāni cā’ti indadesitaṭ̄thena indadiṭṭhat̄thena ca indriyāni. Teneva Bhagavatā munindena kānici gocarasevanāya kānici bhavarāsevanāya sevitānīti indayut̄ṭhat̄thenāpi indriyāni.’

Buddhaghosa goes on to add :

‘Api ca ādhipaccasankhātena issariyat̄thenāpi êtāni indriyāni. Cakkhuviññāṇādippavat̄tiyamhi cakkhādīnam siddham ādhipaccam. Tasmiṁ tikkhetikkhattā mande mandattāti. Ayam tāv’ ettha atthato vinicchayo.’

These explanations of *indriya* are evidently a reminiscence of Pānini, v. 2, 93.

‘Indriyam indralingam indradṛṣṭam indrasṛṣṭan indra-juṣṭam indradattam iti va,’ which sutra is thus translated by Böhtlingk: ““Indriya” bedeutet “Indra’s Glied” “Von Indra gesehen” “Von Indra geschaffen” “Von Indra erwünscht” oder “Von Indra gesehen.””

This shows conclusively that Pāṇini the grammarian lived before Buddhaghosa, and that those who, like Professor Pischel, maintain that he lived in the sixth or seventh century A.D. are wrong.

The first historical mention of Pāṇini, I think, occurred in a copper-plate grant of the seventh century A.D., which was edited, I think, by my distinguished countryman, Professor Ram Krishna Gopal Bhandarkar in the first volume of the *Indian Antiquary*.

II. A NOTE ON THE WORD 'LANKĀRO.'

In the *Silānisamsa jātaka* (Fausböll, ii. 112) occurs the phrase, 'sorañnamayo lañkāro.'

In Vol. II. of the Cambridge University Press translation of the Jātakas, Mr. Rouse, the translator, omits the phrase altogether, and adds the following note :

'*Lakāro* or *lankaro*: I do not know what the word means. Professor Cowell suggests "anchor," the modern Persian for which is *langar* (لگر).'

With all respect to the memory of my dear and revered teacher, Professor Cowell, at whose feet I learnt the elements of the Pali language, I venture to suggest that the word means 'a sail.' My authority for this significance is a passage from Buddhaghosa's *Visuddhimagga* (p. 110 of the Burmese edition *Pathavikasiṇaniddeso*) :

'Yathā ca accheko niyāmako balavavāte *lankāvam* pūrento nāvam videsam pakkhandāpeti. Aparo accheko mandavāte *lankāram* oropento nāvam tattheva thapeti. Cheko pana mandavāte *lankāram* puretva balavavāte addhalankāram pūretva sotthina icchitathānam pāpuṇāti.'

III. A NOTE ON A PASSAGE IN PRAJÑĀKARAMATI'S COMMENTARY ON SĀNTIDEVA'S BODHICARYĀVATĀRA.

(1-4. 'Kṣaṇa-sampad iyam sudurlabhaḥ pratilabdhā purushārthaśādhani,' etc.)

Prajñākaramati's commentary on the passage referred to above runs as follows :

‘Ashtākshana-vinirmuktasya kṣaṇasya sampattiḥ sama-gratā. Iyam sudurlabha. Sushṭu duḥkhena labhyat’ iti kathañcit prāpyā.

‘*Mahārnava-yuga-cchidra-kurma-grivārpanopamā.*’

On this last simile Professor Louis de la Vallée Poussin cites Kern’s *Saddharmapuṇḍarīka* (p. 423) :

‘As the entering of the tortoise’s neck into the hole of the yoke *formed* by the great ocean,’ and the note thereof, ‘I am as unable to elucidate this comparison as Burnouf was . . .’—‘It is as unlikely to happen as if a tortoise should put its neck into a hole opening every yuga in the world’s ocean.’

This simile is thrice referred to in Pali books. For instance, we read in the *Therīgāthā* 500 (No. 73. ‘The Gāthās of Sumedhā’) :

‘Sara kāṇakacchapaṁ pubbe samudde aparato ca yugachidam.

Siram tassa ca patimukkam manussalābhhamhi opammam.’

Again, compare Buddhaghosa’s *Atthasālini* (Dr. E. Muller’s edition, p. 60, § 191) :

‘Yo pan’ esa atṭhahi akkhaṇehi parivajjito (correct Muller’s “parivattito”) navamo khaṇo patirupadesavāsādiko ca catucakkasankhāto okāsatṭhena khaṇo vutto so manussatta-buddhuppāda-saddhammatīti-ādikam (correct Muller’s “Sammāditthiādikam”) khaṇasamaggim vinā natthi. Manussattādinañ ca kāṇakacchhapamādihi (correct Muller’s “Kacchopamādihi”) dullabhabhavo iti khaṇassa dullabhattā sūṭhutaram khaṇāyattam lokuttaradhammānam upakārabhūtam kusalam dullabhavam eva. Evam etesu khaṇasankhāto samayo kusaluppattiya dullabhabhāvam dīpeti.’

The above passage throws considerable light on the extract quoted from the commentary on Bodhicaryāvatāra. The original passage in which the comparison first occurs is to be found in the Bālopaṇḍitasuttam in Majjhima Nikāya (No. 129, p. 169 of vol. iii. of Mr. Chalmers’s edition, = S. v. 455) :

‘Seyyathāpi puriso, bhikkhave, ekacchigalaṁ yugam samudde pakkhipeyya, tam enam puratthimo vāto pacchimena saṁhareyya, pacchimo vāto puratthimena saṁhareyya, uttaro vāto dakkhinena saṁhareyya dakkhiṇo vāto uttarena saṁhareyya ; tatr’ assa kāṇo kacchapo ; so vassasatassa accayena sakīm ummujeya.—Tam kiṁ maññatha, bhikkhave? Api nu so kāṇo kacchapo amukasmīm ekacchigale yuge givam̄ paveseyyāti?’ ‘Yadi nūna, bhante, kadāci karhaci dīghassa addhuno accayenāti.’

‘Khippataram̄ kho so, bhikkhave, kāṇo kacchapo amukasmīm ekacchiggale yuge givam̄ paveseyya, tato dullabhata-rāham, bhikkhave, manusattam̄ vadāmi sakīm vinipātagatena bālena.’